1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON						
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,						
4	Plaintiff, ) No. 05-60008-2-HO						
5	v. ) September 18, 2007						
6	PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al., ) Eugene, Oregon						
7	Defendants. )						
8							
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS						
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE						
12							
13	-:-						
14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL						
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI United States Attorney's Office						
16	405 E. 8th Avenue Suite 2400						
17	Eugene, OR 97401 (541) 465-6771						
18	chris.cardani@usdoj.gov						
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: LAWRENCE H. MATASAR Lawrence Matasar, P.C.						
20	621 S.W. Morrison Street Suite 1025						
21	Portland, OR 97205 (503) 222-9830						
22	larry@pdxlaw.com						
23	PRETRIAL SERVICES: Lisa Brown						
24	COURT REPORTER: Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR P.O. Box 1504						
25	Eugene, OR 97440 (541) 431-4113						



1	<u>11</u>	NDEX OF E	XAMINATI	ONS				
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	Direct	Cross	ReD	ReX			
3	Colleen Anderson	24	37		** **			
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

```
(Tuesday, September 18, 2007; 12:15 p.m.)
1
                      PROCEEDINGS
2
3
             THE CLERK: This is the time set for Criminal
    05-60008-2-HO, United States of America versus Pirouz
4
5
    Sedaghaty, continuation of hearing on appeal of release
    order.
6
7
             THE COURT: Good morning, gentlemen.
                                                   We'll
    wait for your client.
8
9
             MR. MATASAR: Good morning.
10
             MR. CARDANI: Good morning, Your Honor.
             (Defendant enters the courtroom.)
11
12
             THE COURT: Mr. Matasar.
13
             MR. MATASAR: Good morning, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Good morning. I received your
14
15
    papers at 3:10 yesterday.
             MR. MATASAR: Okay. And you've had a chance to
16
    review them, Your Honor?
17
18
             THE COURT: I did.
             MR. MATASAR: I have little to add to the
19
20
    documents, Your Honor. I just want to point out one or
    two of the issues that has happened here.
21
22
             We're going so fast in this kind of proceeding.
    What typically, in this building or its predecessor and
23
24
    other buildings like it, we are able to look at
25
    documents, we are able to think things through in a way
```

that gives us time to reflect, and we're much more likely to reach the correct decision. And we've had -- I just want to talk about, a minute or two, about some of the misconceptions that have happened, some in my letter.

Most importantly, the different dates on the passport that Judge Coffin noticed. We're getting documents here and there. He looks. He sees. And he's quite right, there is different dates of birth and different address -- I'm sorry, different spellings of the name on the defendant's Iranian and American passports. And Judge Coffin was concerned about it. He talked about it from the bench. It was not determinative, but it was something that he was concerned about.

And as I indicated in my papers, with documentary support, the defendant has had different dates of birth long predating this case.

I have obtained his Oregon driver's license. I'm not sure if Ms. Brown typically does this. What it shows -- and maybe I'll make it an exhibit -- it shows that the record was created in 1976. And it has the date of birth of 1/2/58. So there is -- there is no indication that either of these two dates were anything other but some sort of transliteration mistake when

Mr. Seda came to the United States in 1976, or when he got his driver's license.

Similarly, the specific numbered addresses, I know Ms. Brown in her discussions with me was skeptical, how do people get mail in these countries without specific numbered addresses, with this landmark approach? What we've tried to do, Your Honor, is explain that this isn't any sort of refusal of Mr. Seda to provide specific addresses. What happened was he used an approach almost exactly described as the -- as used by people in these countries for a long time. They are trying to change it, but that was what happened.

There is also an error -- you saw -- you said you read my papers. There is at least one typographical error, something I added at the very end. There is an error. We said that we provided the documents -- this is on page seven -- to verify his location at the times he has previously provided. And I wrote "very."

One thing I did write about in my memo, Your Honor, is that we haven't talked a lot about the cases here. I think in pretrial release setting, it's pretty much based on the statute. Mr. Cardani and I haven't really had any dispute about United States versus Smith, United States versus Jones, the Sixth Circuit versus the Ninth Circuit. Those kinds of arguments are often very,

very important. In here, there is really not much of an issue about what the main legal questions are. There is one thing, though, that I wanted to mention to the court that I've come across as I've been reading all these cases, and that is in many of them, one of the reasons that argues against release is the overwhelming proof of guilt that the government has shown the court in the case. Maybe hand-to-hand buys in a cocaine case. Maybe a surveillance in a bank robbery case. And those are something that can be considered as perhaps showing some more risk of flight. Well, we have really the opposite here, Your Honor.

In some of my previous memos, I've talked about it. They have to show a criminal intent. And we have criminal intent to get this money to people in Chechnya that shouldn't have it, according to the government. There is no loss to the Treasury of the United States. This is only an informational return, and some money taken out of the country. And yet here, we have two defenses. One, it did go to charity. We've presented documents translated from the Russian and all sorts of other documents showing that in an exhibit to my first memo. And not only that, there is good reason to believe that the people fighting in Chechnya, at least according to some people, are fighting a war of

liberation and not a terrorist struggle. So we have -or maybe just as important -- we think we have a good
defense to the charges, so there is much less overall -there is really no flight risk.

The defendant -- one more thing about the cases, Your Honor, and I think that's all I'll say.

We're aware that this is a case where the court has de novo review of Judge Coffin's decision. You've stated that on the record, and the law is crystal clear. On the other hand, he is, as I think you said, a very smart man who you hired. He saw the same cases. In some respects, that kind of decision-making is in many ways more powerful than the rules of deference, maybe, for one court and another court.

So we do think -- especially when you look at some of the things that he said that I've quoted, some of the other things in the transcripts, we think that his way of looking at this case is something which is very persuasive, if not binding, on this court.

THE COURT: I'll pass along your compliments.

MR. MATASAR: Same for you, Your Honor, either way, Mr. Cardani, everybody.

In any event, Your Honor, Mr. Seda -- I also have here, and I guess I'll have these marked -- this marked as an exhibit too, although I cited to it. I'd

like to introduce both the driver's license, and also the third edition of this book. I quoted some of it in my materials. But this is a man who has spoken out around the world, around the world, in foreign countries, and in the United States, against terrorism and in favor of peace. This is not a last-minute finding of religion by somebody who is about to be sentenced to a long prison term. It's nothing like that at all.

It is somebody who -- as Rabbi Zaslow said in his letter to Judge Coffin, it's something -- a position that the defendant has taken at some risk even to himself. And we think it's all something that should be considered in determining if he should be released.

So maybe I'll present the license and the third edition of the book. I'm sorry, I didn't realize I had stickers. I'm not sure of the numbering convention in this sort of matter, Your Honor. Should I be 101 and 102 or 1 and 2? I'll make the *Islam Is* Defendant's 101, and the Oregon driver's license 102.

THE COURT: Without objection, they are received.

MR. MATASAR: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'm, of course, happy to answer -- whatever questions you have, I want to address. I know Mr. Cardani and I

.2

have -- I don't know if it's going to come up here -have a pretty serious disagreement about some of the
materials that he gave me today. I'm not sure if that's
going to come up, so I'll just leave that for later.

THE COURT: Let me just -- Mr. Cardani, of course you'll have a chance to speak. But I am going to be interested in your position -- both your positions on whether I should be able to ask questions of your client or case agents in camera in this determination. I'd like your response to that. And I will tell you that, you know, part of that comes from some other information that I have received from Pretrial Services since we were together last.

For example, I have a copy of what is called a business card from Dubai, a copy of what's called a business card from Syria, neither of which we've been able to confirm any activity under, frankly. A card that is not really a business card, but it probably is a -- either an agency or a customer card for Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers, and that there were a couple of transactions under. What I'm told about that is that in order to have -- in order to be in that position of holding that card, you have to make a \$25,000 deposit, for example.

I just have so many questions about -- and we

asked the defendant's wife where he was for two-and-a-half years in Iran. And she professes not to know.

MR. MATASAR: She was not with him, Your Honor. We have given the Pretrial Services the name and e-mail address of the person that he was with. She was not allowed to go to Iran. She was not there. So that's why she does not have the contact information. Pretrial Services does. As far as your question, Your Honor, let me answer that first.

THE COURT: Sometimes I go to Medford, like tomorrow, and my wife will know where I am. Then I'm going to Palo Alto on Friday. She'll know where I am.

MR. MATASAR: I believe his wife knew where he was and was in contact with him on almost daily contact via Internet and other ways, Your Honor. I do not think this is a question. And if Ms. Brown heard it that way, it's not correct. I'm certain that she did not say he went away in a black hole and there was no contact for two years. I just don't think that's what occurred. I think there was regular contact.

And again -- so -- and as far as your question goes, I thought about this. I have suggested it. It's fine with me as far as the interview. If you want to talk to the government's agents, even apart from

Mr. Cardani and I, however you want to do this. I just want to make sure that I have some time, though, to respond to some of the material that Mr. Cardani just gave me today as far as the verification.

Another thing, Your Honor, Mr. Cardani told

Judge Coffin several times in order to break the wall of secrecy of pretrial documents that Pretrial Services does not have the resources to go to these countries and do this kind of work. He needed to have his attaches in the foreign countries, the FBI's foreign agents, he needed to have them check this material so that they could verify where the defendant was.

However, I am told what has occurred is simply that instead of Ms. Brown making the telephone calls, they've had the case agent, who has been investigating Mr. Seda for four years, making the telephone calls. That's not my understanding of the purpose for breaking down the Pretrial Services' wall.

Ms. Brown can make the phone calls from the U.S. just as easily as Ms. Anderson. I think it's completely contrary to what I think the Pretrial Services' role is. Of course, Your Honor makes whatever decision you want to make. But I think that's a concern that I have about how this was done.

As far as some of the material that I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seen, again, I just got it late this morning, we have one report that's based on information from a man who didn't give his last name. We have no idea when he worked there. And he was asked about a situation that happened in October 19 -- I'm sorry, October 2004. Why would he remember that? We don't know if he was working there. It, instead, looks like the kind of situation which our expert gave in an affidavit that they just don't want to say anything about it. He said, I don't need to check my computer system. I would know whether we had any business transactions with the defendant in 2004. There is just -- I'm not sure what could be accomplished. On the other hand, we have no problem with the court speaking with the defendant, even without the presence of the lawyers. I think it would, frankly, help.

THE COURT: I would not do that. More the question is whether either of you have objection to me taking some information, to the extent there is any, in camera on this question. I have no intention of letting information that's gathered by me for this purpose be used in a prosecution. But, on the other hand, if either of you object to that, then I'll just consider myself partly in the dark.

MR. MATASAR: No, we do not object, Your Honor.

MR. CARDANI: Good morning, Judge. We want you to be fully illuminated on every aspect that you can be in making this decision.

As these hearings go on and on and on, what I heard last week is that you concluded by suggesting to Mr. Matasar that you needed him to provide more information on three areas: The passport discrepancies, the financial information about what he's been up to in the last four-and-a-half years as an international fugitive, and where he has resided.

Now, I'm not privy to every communication with Pretrial Services, but to the best of my knowledge, there hasn't been a lot of information -- new information given to Pretrial Services since we broke last week. If there is, I'm not aware of it.

THE COURT: There was a marriage -- copy of a marriage certificate, a copy of a naturalization certificate.

MR. CARDANI: And I saw the filing that
Mr. Matasar filed yesterday afternoon. I've had a
chance to review it only this morning, so I don't have a
lot of follow-up for that. But I do have this, Judge,
on the passport issue, it's helpful that Mr. Matasar
provides this document showing that there was some
discrepancies in the date of birth and the name before

he fled. I get that. I understand that. But it doesn't answer some of the outstanding questions.

And I think this has been on the table for a long time now. We don't have answers to why Mr. Seda had a passport, a second passport, the Iranian, when he surrendered here last month. That didn't get presented to the court until we were well into the detention hearing, when we noticed that there were no travel to Iran and Syria on his U.S. passport. It was only then that we were presented with the Iranian passport that the court has seen.

When you look at that, some unanswered questions that are very important, when he got that Iranian passport in 2006, it was a replacement passport for a previous one, which the court also has. That previous Iranian passport was good until 2009. And it had pages and -- it had pages which -- there were blank pages, meaning he could still travel on that passport. He could still use it. It was valid -- for all intents and purposes, a valid Iranian passport that was good in 2006 through 2009.

For some unknown reason that has not been explained to me, and I don't think to the court, or to Pretrial, Mr. Seda saw the need to go to Iran and get a new passport in 2006 while -- and he still had a valid

passport in Iran. I don't know the answer to that. I don't think that there has been a logical explanation for that. But I do know this: When he did this, he had been indicted here. There was a current arrest warrant for him here. So when he did that in 2006, he was an international fugitive.

And while there were discrepancies that preexisted, if you compare the facial appearance of Mr. Seda, they are dramatically different. On all of the other passport applications -- I'm sorry, passport photos, the U.S. passport -- two U.S. passports, the prior Iranian passport, Mr. Seda's facial appearance has been as how he appears before the court today, with a beard. The driver's license, which I just saw, was with a beard. So what we know is that Mr. Seda left with a beard, and all of his identification materials showed him with a beard. Yet this 2006 passport, which was the replacement passport in Iran, shows a quite different appearance to Mr. Seda.

So I think that there is an inference that could be drawn from that, and that is one of flight.

That he had in his possession an Iranian passport with a different name -- spelling of his name, and a different date of birth. Whether it came before or after, I think, is beside the point. It was a passport that had

different information about him with a photo that made him appear differently. And a passport that was not turned over when he surrendered to U.S. authorities last month. So I think that the information Mr. Matasar provided is helpful, but doesn't answer the continuing question about why there was a need to get this passport.

MR. MATASAR: Your Honor, do you want me to address that now?

THE COURT: No, thank you. You'll have a chance.

MR. MATASAR: No, I know that.

MR. CARDANI: On the addresses and employment in foreign countries, I think that there has been a continuous press on Mr. Seda through Pretrial Services and through Judge Coffin, tell us where you lived, tell us how you lived. Those are normal questions for any international fugitive. Those questions, by and large, still remain today.

And from the government's standpoint, it makes him -- continues to be a flight risk because these are questions that have to be answered to determine what he's been doing and what he's going to do here. And I'm not giving up on the dangerousness issue, because where has he been getting his money? If he's been getting his

money from the same type of sources that he took before he fled, from al-Haramain officials, from Soliman al-Buthe, a specially designated global terrorist, then that's a problem. That's a violation of U.S. law, which I'll get into in a minute.

So it's gone on and on and on trying to get information from him. I think it was characterized it's like pulling teeth -- attempting to pull teeth, trying to get the most basic of information financially.

Now, Mr. Matasar says in the thing that I read last night that it's really -- not really useful to get any more information to the court on the financial information and the employment information, because it's so difficult to confirm things overseas because of our present relationship with the governments of Iran and Syria.

testify. She can proffer. She has picked up the phone and called some of the business contacts that Mr. Seda had on the cards that were given to Pretrial Services and to the court in its filing. Mr. Matasar has made these public. She just simply picked up the phone and called them, the business contacts. It was very easy to do. She got basic information. She attempted to do some corroboration, some verification, which is what

this court wanted at the conclusion of last week's hearing. And she can provide the court with the information, but a number of points are to be made.

We think we have confirmed that Mr. Seda did some limited commercial activity with the -- with Iran while he was in Dubai. Some sale of some equipment.

I'm not an expert in this area, Judge, and if the court looks at information that doesn't come our way, there are laws which prohibit commercial transactions with Iran, and they are known — the shorthand is IEPA, but it's 50 USC 1701 through 1706. And this makes it a felony to violate executive orders issued by the president. And there are currently executive orders prohibiting, without a license, commercial activity, unless exempted, with the governments of Iran. And so it may be the case that Mr. Seda is not providing information about that because he's been violating the law. Likewise, if he's been accepting funding from Mr. al-Buthe, that's obviously a crime by the same statute.

But my point, Judge, is verification can be done, whether it's by Colleen Anderson or by Pretrial, it can be done.

When we were with Judge Coffin, the reason we offered to get the attaches overseas is because in

certain countries, we do have foreign agents there.

It's a time-consuming process to set leads and get information. We're perfectly willing to do that. But she was just able to do this by picking up the phone because time is of the essence. And she was able to do this verification very, very quickly. And this was coming out of a meeting with Judge Coffin, an in camera meeting, where we talked about some information coming our way.

Now, as to use of information, Mr. Matasar also continues to express an apprehension that if they provide information which is incriminating, it can be used against Mr. Seda in a future prosecution. And there's a statute, 18 USC 3153(c)(1), which, unless certain exemptions apply, prohibit us from using information garnered through the Pretrial Services process as an independent grounds for new prosecution. I don't have a lot of experience in the area, but I did research the statute, and it says clearly we can't do that.

So if the only reason we found out that

Mr. Seda took money from a designated global terrorist

organization or engaged in commercial activity with

Iran, we couldn't use that as an independent grounds for

prosecution, but it can be used for impeachment purposes

if Mr. Seda were to state something falsely in some court proceeding. So they do have some protections on providing information that the court really does, I suggest, need to verify his activities overseas.

Special Agent Anderson can also tell the court that through her limited research she found out that Mr. Seda may have access to a bank account in Dubai. It hasn't been verified. But one of the entities she contacted helped broker a transaction, and seems to have suggested that Mr. Seda utilized the Dubai bank account to wire transfer some money. I don't know if that information has been provided to Pretrial Services, but that's the kind of information I think, again, the court needs to know. Does he have access to foreign bank accounts that he could use to fund a fugitive existence should he decide to once again flee the United States?

She also tried to call a number on one of the business calls that Mr. Seda provided. And this was in Dubai. And to her surprise, the person that answered this business phone call was one of Mr. Seda's wives or former wives, a woman name Laleh Zahedi, in Dubai. And so what are we to take from that?

The point, I think, is in a business card that Mr. Seda is providing to the court as some evidence of business activity, his wife has an active cell phone.

So to this day in 2007, when she calls this business, she answers and says that Mr. Seda is the president of this organization --

MS. ANDERSON: Owner.

MR. CARDANI: -- the owner of this organization. So she knew from that, that this woman is still a force in his life, has a cell phone that is active, and represents that Mr. Seda has some connection with this business. Again, that was information that was obtained through a phone call.

A couple of other points before I sit down or answer the court's questions. There has been a continuous statement that these are just tax charges, and somehow this diminishes the charges or to contrast them with more serious charges.

While it's true that one count is a tax charge, the other count is a conspiracy to defraud the United States. And that's a five-year felony. The tax charge is a three-year felony. So he faces up to eight years in prison. And as -- it may come to the point that if there are convictions for these statutes, that there is an enhancement under the sentencing guidelines; that if the government is able to prove that these offenses were committed to influence the conduct of a foreign government that we're at peace with, then that can

trigger an enhancement under the guidelines. And here it may take it right up to the eight-year statutory maximum.

I think that the bottom line is this court a week ago asked for more information on these subjects and, with very little exception, it has not been provided.

And as time goes on, and this is -- Mr. Seda knows that these are important questions to get him released, by not providing this information, the silence is starting to speak loudly. I think that we've had now five detention hearings, and continuous attempts to -- by Pretrial Services to get information, basic information, that has not been forthcoming. And I think at this point there are inferences to be drawn from that, especially with the passport, the nature of the countries that have been traveled in, and Mr. Seda's background before he left.

On the court's suggestion of an in camera meeting, I have no objection to the court getting information from whatever source it feels appropriate.

I would ask that if it meets with Mr. Seda in camera that it be done on the record, that it be sealed, for potential use down the road, and that it be done in, not only Mr. Matasar's presence, but I would suggest that

Pretrial Services --

THE COURT: They will be there.

MR. CARDANI: Okay. But I don't need to -- I don't need to be there and I have no objection to doing that. But if the court does that, the information, as this court knows, has to be verifiable, if it can be verified. And we've -- we can show with what she's done that this information can be verified and really should be verified. And the court needs to know that if he's stating information that's violative of the law, then that is an important factor to consider in whether you should release him, that he's committing crimes while on -- while on fugitive status.

Insofar as meeting with government agents, I'm perfectly willing to do whatever the court wants. If the court wants to go in camera with either one of the agents, I would ask to be there, that it be on the record, and that it be sealed. But to be honest with you, Judge, really, there are no secrets. There are limits on the law. And if there are classified information and things like that, that would be one thing, but insofar as this hunt for information, you know, we can provide this information to the court in an open forum.

I might add, I have not shown the Pretrial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

24

```
Services' report to the agents. The information that
    they've looked at has come through information from
    Pretrial Services, Mr. Matasar's own filings, and things
    of the like.
             Lastly, would the court want to hear from
    Special Agent Anderson on the subjects I discussed?
             THE COURT: It's up to you.
             MR. CARDANI: If I can just -- I don't know if
    she needs to be sworn or not, or if Mr. Matasar is okay
10
    with her standing up and addressing the court.
             MR. MATASAR: I just would like to ask her a
    question or two after she's done.
             THE COURT: Probably should be sworn.
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. I'd call Special Agent
15
    Colleen Anderson.
             (The witness was sworn.)
             THE CLERK: Please state your full name, and
18
    please spell your full name for the record.
19
             THE WITNESS: My name is Colleen Anderson.
    C-O-L-L-E-E-N, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. CARDANI:
22
23
             Special Agent Anderson, if you could speak into
       Q.
    the microphone, make sure the light is on so that I can
    hear you. You work for IRS Criminal Investigation?
```

- A. Yes. I'm a special agent with IRS Criminal Investigations.
- Q. Okay. And you are one of the case agents in this case?
  - A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And you are aware, through these proceedings, that certain information has come up, and there has been an attempt to verify with Judge Coffin, with Pretrial Services, and to some extent, Judge Hogan, information about the defendant?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And have you participated in an attempt to get 13 that information?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And it's been kind of fast and furious, but did you generate some reports on some of these contacts that you made?
- A. Yes. I've generated several reports on the phone contacts that I've made.
- Q. And you are about to get into that testimony,
  but these reports I just saw for the first time this
  morning, but we've made copies for Mr. Matasar and
  provided them to him this morning?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Now, can you briefly tell Judge Hogan what you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
have done since our last hearing, the one about one week
ago, in an attempt to follow-up on some of the
employment and financial information regarding
Mr. Seda's activities while he was a fugitive?
         Yes. Judge, I met with Lisa Brown, and got
  Α.
some copies of what appeared to be business cards or
business contacts that Mr. Sedaghaty had provided
Pretrial Services for both, I think, UAE and also Syria.
And in an attempt to verify the business contacts, one
specific, I believe it's Naba Commercial Brokerage,
L.L.C., I made approximately -- I believe four contacts
with four different companies to try and verify Naba
Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C., the validity of the
company, and what kind of money it made, you know, what
it did, what it did for business, and that kind of
thing.
         MR. CARDANI: Judge, for your information, this
is page -- Mr. Matasar's filing, Exhibit A, pages 4 of
9, I believe, contains the business cards that she's
talking about right now.
BY MR. CARDANI:
         So what did you do?
   0.
         First I contacted Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers.
One of the cards had Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C.,
at the top and Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers at the bottom.
```

To me it appeared that Ritchie Bros. had issued this card. So I did some Internet research. And found out that Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers had -- was an international company, and huge in the equipment business, mainly equipment auctions.

So I contacted their Canadian international office. It's actually the headquarters for their international offices around the world. So I contacted the Canadian branch, and spoke with -- I think it was an administrative manager there. And I identified myself, and told her that I was trying to verify any type of business that they had done with Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C. She informed me that the manager of the Dubai office of Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers happened to be in Canada at that time, and that she could transfer me to him, and I could ask him what type of business contacts were with Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C.

So I was transferred to Mr. Pius Meier, who told me that he had been, I believe, the manager there from -- it was -- look at my notes here. It was -- I think it was from like 2000 through 2007, I believe. He had been the manager of the Dubai office for many, many years, was very familiar with who they sold to, and who the -- basically the sales of heavy equipment in that

region.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He told me that his company, Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers, sold a lot of equipment to Iran. Mr. Sedaghaty, under Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C., had only one purchase with their company. And that was in December of '04 for a total purchase price of about And that contained two pieces of equipment, one miscellaneous piece for \$400, and a forklift for 1750. The 400-piece -- \$400 piece of equipment was resold at their auction -- the following auction for \$500, less the \$100 commission. So he said basically he just broke even on it. And the forklift was taken in by Mr. Sedaghaty, which means he came and basically got the forklift. So the company had not shipped it for him. And Mr. Meier had told me that because they do a lot of business with Iran, a lot of the equipment gets shipped there.

And I said, well, do you know the destination of this piece of equipment? And he goes, well, my guess would be that it would be Iran. And I said, but how would I actually show the destination of the equipment? And he said, well, since we didn't ship it, the Dubai port authorities actually have -- I think it's Dubai customs authorities actually has paperwork to show that. And he offered to obtain those for me.

- Q. Have you got those documents yet?
- A. No, I have not.

- Q. All right. And what about other attempts to follow-up on information Mr. Seda provided to the court?
- A. Well, in speaking with Mr. Meier at Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers, he told me that there were only two equipment auctioneer houses in all of the Middle East, that would be Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers and a company called Worldwide Auctions. And he told me that I could probably find contact information for them on their Web site, and gave me their Web site.

I went into the Web site, and I found the name of what appeared to be the operating manager for the Dubai office. I called up that manager on his cell phone. And he told me that he would do his best to get that information. I think a day or so after that, I got an e-mail from one of his employees showing that

Mr. Sedaghaty had had two purchases with that company, several trucks on one purchase, and a forklift for about 22,000, and then a Toyota Land Cruiser on a second purchase for about 9,000.

They said that the destination is unknown as to where those were shipped. Again, I believe from what I was told from the previous manager that that information would be available from the Dubai customs authorities

also.

And they also told me -- Worldwide Auctions told me that their records show that Mr. Sedaghaty had paid for these purchases from a wire transfer out of a Dubai bank account. And that if I wanted more detailed information, to recontact them, which I did. I e-mailed them back and said, yes, please provide those banking records, which I have not received yet.

- Q. Now, I'd like to -- there was a Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C., card, in -- again, in Mr. Matasar's submission, page 4 of 9, Exhibit A, listing Mr. Seda as a managing director of Naba Commercial Brokerage in Dubai. Did you attempt to make contact with Naba Commercial Brokerage?
- A. Yes, I did. I believe -- I don't have it in front of me, but I believe on the Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C., business card that there was an office phone and what appeared to be a cell phone on there. I called the office phone, but it appeared to be disconnected. It wouldn't go through. So then I tried the cell phone. And a female answered the cell phone. Basically she answered the cell phone hello. So once she answered the cell phone hello, I believe I said, well, is this Naba Commercial Brokerage, L.L.C.? And she was a little hesitant, but she finally said, well,

```
yes. I said, well, may I speak to the owner please?

And she said -- basically said, well, he's not here
right now. And I said, well, may I ask the name of the
owner? And she stated Peter Sedaghaty. And I said,
really? I said, my name is -- I think I identified
myself at that point, and said my name is Colleen
Anderson. I'm a special agent with IRS Criminal
Investigations. Who am I speaking with, please? And
she answered Laleh. And I said, oh, would this be Laleh
Zahedi? And she confirmed that, yes, it was Laleh
Zahedi.
```

- Q. Had you known her from before?
- A. Yes. In fact, at that point, when I asked, well, would this be Laleh Zahedi? and she said yes, she hadn't said anything after that, and I said, well, Laleh, this is Colleen Anderson. You remember me. I served you with a subpoena on behalf of the al-Haramain Corporation about five years ago, in which at that point, she appeared to want to terminate the conversation.
- Q. Okay. All right. And anything else on contacts or attempted contacts to verify information?
- A. Yes. I also contacted a WMC Enterprises. From my understanding, Pretrial Services was given a price quote of some sort from this entity. This wasn't one of

```
1
    the major auction houses. It appears to be more of a
 2
    retail type situation. So I attempted to call them.
 3
    And I asked for the manager. I found the manager's name
    on their Web site. And the employee informed me that
 4
 5
    the manager was in a business meeting of some sort.
    I identified myself, and said, you know, I am calling
 6
 7
    because I want to try to confirm any business contacts
    that you've had with Naba Commercial or Pirouz
8
 9
    Sedaghaty. And the employee, he said his name was
10
    Monsul, said that there was no business transactions.
    And I asked him if he could confirm that with his
11
12
    computer system. And Monsul said that he didn't really
13
    need to do that because he would know. And I said,
14
    okay, well, thank you for your time.
15
             And Syria, did you do anything on Syria?
16
             I'm sorry, also with WMC Enterprises, after
       Α.
17
    that conversation, I e-mailed the manager to try and
    confirm that, but I haven't received an e-mail back.
18
19
             Okay. And anything else? Syria?
       Q.
20
             Syria, yes. There was another card, another
       Α.
21
    business card from Syria. I think it's -- I'm not sure
22
    if I can pronounce it right, Letojer. And --
             This is the same exhibit, Judge, Exhibit A,
23
       Q.
24
    page 4 of 9, this is a business card that Mr. Seda
25
    provided to Pretrial on L-E-T-O-J-E-R, there's some
```

English there listing some information in Damascus, Syria.

A. Correct.

- Q. What did you do?
- A. I called the general phone number on there, what appeared to me to be the office phone number. And again the mobile phone -- I guess it was a mobile phone was switched off, out of area. I attempted to call it a couple of times. It wasn't going through. So then I attempted to get on the Internet and locate the business in the Syrian yellow pages, and could not locate it there.

So I went to the Web site, and the Web site states that the Web page is under construction. So I thought, well, if the Web page is under construction, I'm going to go to Web archives and see what was on this Web page prior to the construction to maybe learn a little bit about this company. Maybe there is another number I can call, that kind of thing. But there is no prior Web archives information on this site. And so what I did is I went and did some more research to find out when the site was actually listed on the Internet. And it was registered on the Internet as of May of 2007. So it's very recent to the Internet.

And then I did some research on the e-mail

address that was on that business card. That comes back to Gmail. And according to some Internet research,

Gmail was not up and running until February of 2007 for private persons and businesses. So this e-mail address wasn't even valid until sometime after February of 2007.

And that's all the information.

- Q. Now, in these conversations, did you identify yourself as a criminal investigator here in the United States?
  - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Despite that, people assisted you?
- A. Yes. And, in fact, they -- the manager of Ritchie Bros. and the manager of Worldwide Auctions was very cooperative.
- Q. Now, based on your -- the comings and goings here, are you able to, as an agent, form an opinion as to whether the financial transactions and information you've garnered would indicate that Mr. Seda had the wherewithal from these business transactions to fund lodging, international travel, and subsistence for his time away from the United States for four-and-a-half years?
- MR. MATASAR: Objection, Your Honor. This witness has nowhere near the amount of information to possibly render that sort of opinion based on what she's

3

4

5

```
1
           She's made four phone calls to four people. It's
    just completely impossible for her to make that sort of
    opinion.
             MR. CARDANI: I'll restate the question.
             THE COURT: (Nodding head.)
 6
    BY MR. CARDANI:
 7
       0.
             Based on the information that you obtained and
    your knowledge that Mr. Seda had -- was it $30,000 in
 9
    his bank accounts when he left the country in 2003?
10
       Α.
             That's correct.
11
       0.
             The accounts that we know about?
12
       Α.
             That's correct.
             Based on your experience, how much money did he
13
       Q.
14
    generate from these business transactions overseas?
15
             MR. MATASAR: Again, if he's only asking about
16
    the transactions -- the specific transactions that she
    looked into, I have no objection.
17
18
             MR. CARDANI: Okay. What he said.
19
             THE WITNESS: Specifically, the transactions
20
    that I looked into, again, from Ritchie Bros.
    Auctioneers, it didn't appear that he made any money on
22
    one of the sales. And it doesn't appear you can make a
23
    large commission off of a $2,000 piece of equipment.
24
    Worldwide Auctions, again, they have just a little bit
25
    over $30,000 worth of purchases. Commissions off of
```

```
those couldn't have been enough to sustain him for four
1
2
    years.
 3
    BY MR. CARDANI:
 4
             And one thing I forgot to ask you about is, one
       Q.
5
    of these outfits required a $25,000 deposit of some
 6
    sort?
7
       Α.
             That's correct. The manager of Ritchie Bros.
8
    Auctioneers, which is one of the cards there, stated
9
    that in order to participate in one of their auctions
    that you have to put $25,000 cash down.
10
             MR. MATASAR: Objection, Your Honor. I have a
11
12
    question in aid of objection.
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
13
14
             MR. MATASAR: Did he tell you you always have
15
    to pay $25,000?
16
             THE WITNESS: He said in order to participate,
    that a customer has to put down 25,000. Did he say
17
    "always"? No.
18
19
             MR. MATASAR: Didn't he, in fact, say
20
    "usually"?
21
             THE WITNESS: Actually, my notes show that he
22
    said to bid in an auction, a customer must register and
23
    deposit 25,000 USD with Ritchie Bros.
24
             MR. MATASAR: Isn't that to get the card?
25
             THE WITNESS: No, that's not to get the card.
```

```
The card is actually issued after a customer's first
 1
 2
    purchase with an auction.
 3
              MR. MATASAR:
                            Usually.
 4
              MR. CARDANI: I have no other questions unless
 5
    the court has any or Mr. Matasar.
 6
              THE COURT: Cross.
 7
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. MATASAR:
 8
 9
              Miss Anderson, when you called people to get
10
    this information, you said you were an IRS agent; is
11
    that right?
12
              I said I was a special agent with Internal
       Α.
    Revenue Service Criminal Investigations.
13
             What did you mention about your ties to the
14
       Q.
15
    court?
16
       Α.
              I believe that I mentioned that I was
17
    attempting to verify information given to me by the
18
    court.
19
       0.
              Did you say that you were assigned by the
20
    court?
21
       Α.
             No, I do not believe I said I was assigned by
22
    the court.
23
             Might you have said that as part of your other
24
    explaining, that you were asked to verify information
25
    given to the court?
```

- A. I don't believe I said that. My understanding is that I told everyone that I was there to verify information that I had received from the court.
- Q. So you verified that there was a Naba Commercial Brokerage, there was such an organization?
- A. I verified through Laleh Zahedi that

  Mr. Sedaghaty was the owner of that entity. Whether it

  was a corporation, I could not determine.
- Q. Well, didn't you verify from Mr. Meier that their database lists the defendant, and as the managing director of Naba Commercial Brokerage?
- 12 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. So you verified that. Did you verify that Naba and Mr. Seda did business with Mr. Meier and Ritchie?
  - A. Yes, they did one transaction.
- Q. Did you verify that Mr. Seda was -- that this business occurred in Dubai, that Mr. Seda did business with WMC Enterprises in Dubai?
- A. Actually, WMC Enterprises stated that they did not have any business transactions with Mr. Sedaghaty or Naba.
- 22 Q. I'm sorry, I'm talking about Ritchie Bros.
- A. Yes, Ritchie Bros. confirmed that the auction occurred in Dubai.
- 25 Q. And there were -- there was more than one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

```
transaction between Mr. Seda and Ritchie Bros. in Dubai?
             There was -- in their records, they show one
    transaction, two pieces of equipment.
             I understand. But you verified that Mr. Seda
       0.
    had business transactions in Dubai --
       Α.
             Yes.
             -- did you not? And at the time period when he
    said he was in Dubai?
             I don't believe that I had a time period
       Α.
    specific to when he was in Dubai. My understanding,
    from what I had received from Pretrial Services at that
    point in time, was a listing of places he had been, but
    not necessarily a chronological order or time period.
    That's my understanding.
             Did you not get my e-mail to Ms. Brown, which
    is Exhibit C to the documents that were filed yesterday,
    that indicate in order where Mr. Seda was? Six months
    in Saudi Arabia; and then 18 months in United Arab
    Emirates, which includes Dubai; and then 18 months in
    Tehran, Iran; and then one year in Syria, you were
    totally unaware of that?
             I'd have to see the exhibit.
22
23
             MR. MATASAR: May I show Exhibit C to her, Your
24
    Honor?
```

THE COURT: The clerk will assist you,

```
Mr. Matasar.
1
2
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what was your
 3
    question?
    BY MR. MATASAR:
 4
             Were you aware of that general information that
5
6
    Mr. Seda had given in order where he was from the time
 7
    he left the United States two years before the
    indictment in this case?
8
9
             I believe I had seen this document, if that's
       Α.
10
    your question, yes.
11
             So that would show that he was in Dubai at a
       Q.
    certain period of time, right? If he left in February
12
13
    of 2003, and he was gone for a period of months, and
14
    then he went to Dubai, he would be in Dubai at the same
15
    period, would he not, that meshes or is verified by the
16
    transactions that you have looked into in Dubai?
17
             I'd have to do the math, but it appears to be
       Α.
    the right time period.
18
19
       Q.
             Okay. Now, you said that you called WMC in
20
    Dubai?
21
       Α.
             Yes, I did.
22
             And you tried to talk to a person who was the
       Q.
23
    manager?
24
             Yes. Actually, it was two phones calls. I
       Α.
```

called at one point, and the manager wasn't in. And

```
then I called back slightly later, and spoke with the
same employee, and he said that the manager was in a
meeting.
```

- Q. Right. So you don't know if the manager was in or wasn't in or was in a meeting or wasn't in a meeting, you just know that this person that answered the phone told you that -- told you those things, right?
- Α. Yes, the person who identified himself as Monsul told me that he was in a meeting.
  - What was Monsul's last name? 0.
- 11 Α. He didn't give a last time.
- 12 0. Did you ask him for a last name?
- 13 Α. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

- 14 When did he work at that company? 0.
- 15 I do not know. Α.
- 16 Do you know if he was working there in 2004? Q.
- 17 No, I do not. Α.
- 18 How would you know that he was accurate when he Ο. 19 said he would know no matter what the database said 20 whether or not WMC ever had any business with Mr. Seda or Naba Commercial?
  - Well, in an attempt to verify his -- whether or Α. not he was accurate, I then e-mailed the manager at the e-mail address that was listed on their Web site, telling the manager that I had spoken with his employee,

```
Monsul, and that I would like to confirm with him that
1
2
    there were no business transactions.
 3
             And the manager said what?
 4
       Α.
              I had not received a reply, the time
5
    differences.
              Wasn't this on September 15th?
 6
       Q.
7
              This would be --
       Α.
8
       Q.
             May I have my exhibit?
 9
       Α.
              Yes.
10
              Well, your report was written on the 15th?
       Q.
11
              That would have been the date of the contact.
       Α.
12
    I believe -- I'd have to look at my notes -- but I
13
    believe that would have been on a weekend, and I called
14
    somewhere around 11:00 p.m. So I would have written the
15
    report probably Monday; and while in the office,
16
    e-mailed the manager. That's my -- without my notes,
17
    that would be my best quess.
18
       Q.
              But you haven't heard anything from him since
19
    then?
20
       Α.
              No.
21
              It's been days since then?
       0.
22
       Α.
              Since Monday.
23
              It's been at least one day and one night, the
24
    time frame overnight would not explain it?
              That's correct.
25
       Α.
```

- Q. There was a document here which caused you to question this, WMC Enterprises, a specific bid form. Do you remember that?
- A. Yes, I was told that there was a specific bid form. I was faxed a copy of it, but, unfortunately, the faxed part of that did not come out, so I was going off of verbal information.
- Q. So you didn't ask him whether or not he could confirm or verify that this is the type of form used by WMC Enterprises for bids?
  - A. I believe in my e-mail to the manager that I stated that we had information that his company may have given Mr. Sedaghaty a bid. And I believe I put the date down on the bid. But, however, I've not received a confirmation from him.
- Q. So there is, though, in the documents given to
  Ms. Brown, a specific form with WMC Enterprises, signed
  by Mohsin Kamal, is there not?
  - A. Again, I didn't actually get the document because the fax didn't come through, but --
- Q. Let me show it to you. Well, you knew to call them from Ms. Brown, right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. You were trying to verify whether or not they
  had any dealings with Mr. Seda, right?

- A. That's correct. And Ms. Brown provided me with the name of the manager that had signed this.
- Q. Correct. The name of the manager, the manager signed it, and you are saying that you talked to somebody named Monsul, and he said there was no dealings with Mr. Seda ever. He didn't even want to look at any documents; isn't that what he told you?
- A. He told me that there were no dealings with Mr. Sedaghaty, and that he didn't need to check their database.
  - Q. He did not need to check?
- 12 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 13 Q. Because he knew there were no dealings?
- 14 A. That's what he stated.
- Q. Okay. But that document would seem to indicate that there were at least some dealings?
- A. Yes. And I told him that on the phone, that I had information regarding -- that he had gotten quotes.
- And he said there were no financial transactions with 20 Mr. Sedaghaty.
- Q. Did -- when you talked with Mr. Seda's ex-wife, you told the court that she wanted to terminate the conversation at the end; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. What did she tell you in terminating the

conversation?

- A. She told me that she couldn't speak with me any longer, that she needed to contact her attorney, and to call her back in 15 minutes. And I then asked her what is the name of your attorney, and the phone call was terminated.
  - Q. So she told you to call her back in 15 minutes?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And what did she say when you called her back in 15 minutes?
- A. I did not call her back in 15 minutes because she did not provide me with the name of her attorney.

MR. MATASAR: Nothing further.

MR. CARDANI: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. CARDANI: Judge, if I might conclude on this, the point is that we're not presenting this as ironclad proof that's been vetted through an investigative process. The point is that this should be unnecessary. For the context of what is before you right now, this is not a trial on the merits. This is a release decision. This is information that Mr. Seda, after being a fugitive for four-and-a-half years, traveling all these places, comes into the country, surrenders, and is asked basic questions by Pretrial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Services, and is not giving information. This is information that they've been pressed over and over and over to provide, and they refuse to. It's coming out in bits and pieces. And it shouldn't be the case that this has to be done to attempt to confirm. This is definitely an arm of the court, but as I understand it, these questions have been asked repeatedly, and they have refused -- if I remember the first statement of Pretrial Services' report, he refused to provide any evidence of travel and financial information. Refused.

Now, if someone wants to be released from this court after being a four-and-a-half year fugitive, they have got to do better than that, I would suggest. They've got to be forthcoming with this court, tell the court -- and if Mr. Matasar and Mr. Seda have this information, I dealt with this auction house, I did this, deal with this person, this is who you can contact, here is his e-mail, here is my wife's name and number, this is the type of thing that we see routinely in cases before the court when release is an issue. We want hard information for the court, we want hard information from the defendant who is in the best position to know what that information is. And then the arm of the court attempts to confirm that and verify it so that they can bring their opinion on whether this is

someone who should be released or not to the court.

Ms. Brown has made the recommendation that this defendant should not be released. And it's my understanding that's still the current recommendation.

So I would just -- I don't know where we're going on this, Your Honor. We're doing what we can to verify information to bring the information before the court, but it's very difficult when you have someone who is not cooperating with the court to verify the information. That's all I have.

MR. MATASAR: Your Honor, in some respects I disagree with the fundamental aspect of what Mr. Cardani is saying. When he says we always see this kind of information, in my experience, we never see this kind of information when you have these kinds of charges. And so --

THE COURT: Are you interested in giving more information to the court in camera?

MR. MATASAR: We will give -- yes, Your Honor. We can give information to the court in camera, yes. Bank, if you want. What we're trying -- yeah, I guess the answer to that is yes. And that's where we're going to stop, that would be fine.

Let me just say one more thing that we are -- while Mr. Cardani keeps talking about drips and drabs,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is simply, to some degree, a perceptual problem because of misunderstandings. It is not the case. gave Ms. Brown this list or this verbal list of places where he was. Then we gave it to her in handwritten. Then we had it typed. However, the problem is not the It's that it was in a way that was simply not familiar to her. THE COURT: The passport issue is problematic, when he's asked about valid passports and doesn't tell about them. MR. MATASAR: He was not asked about another passport. He was not. THE COURT: The typical -- I wasn't there, of course, but I know that typical language is something like any valid passports. recall the question. I was there. As soon as we

MR. MATASAR: I was there, Your Honor. I don't recall the question. I was there. As soon as we thought -- found out it was an issue before the hearing, I had this in my pocket on August 22nd, before the hearing. For one reason or another, like many of these proceedings, it stopped. Mr. Cardani saw me. I didn't get it anywhere else. I was sitting in that chair the whole time. And at the recess, I gave him the passport. It was not something that happened at the hearing that caused me to give it to him. I always intended to give

```
1
    it to him.
             The other issue as far as the other -- the old
 2
 3
    passports, we've explained that. It's simply organizing
 4
    it and understanding it, not unwilling to give it.
 5
             I have been concerned about the financial
    information, which I am happy to give to the court.
 6
 7
    worried that it simply won't be verified in the same way
8
    that we have problems with this.
 9
             THE COURT: Time will tell.
10
             MR. MATASAR: I am happy to give it to the
11
    court.
12
             THE COURT: I think what we'll do -- I think
    we'll do that in the courtroom. I think I'll keep my
13
14
    staff here, and Ms. Brown, you and your client, and
15
    we'll clear the courtroom otherwise right now.
16
    you very much. Sorry for the inconvenience for the
    others here. Off the record.
17
18
              (Discussion held off the record.)
19
              (Further proceedings were had which were
20
    ordered sealed.)
21
             ///
22
              111
23
             ///
24
             111
25
             ///
```

```
1
             (Mr. Cardani enters the courtroom at 2:18 p.m.)
 2
             THE COURT: The regular public can come in, if
 3
    there is any left.
 4
             MR. CARDANI: There is plenty of people out
 5
    there that would love to come in.
 6
             THE COURT: Yeah, they can come in. Anyone
7
    else can come in. The court is open now.
             MR. CARDANI: I'll get the case agents, then,
8
9
    if I may.
10
             THE COURT: Of course.
11
             MR. CARDANI: Excuse me, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: All right. We'll go back on the
13
    record. The -- one of the difficulties in this issue is
14
    it has been like pulling teeth to get information.
15
    We've been in here quite a long while, and a lot of
16
    other information has been disclosed.
17
             I'm going to allow Mr. Matasar the opportunity
18
    to provide supporting materials and contact numbers.
19
    And I'm going take this matter under advisement, and
20
    await a further report from Ms. Brown, after she has
21
    that information or has had the chance to check it out.
22
             She has recommended against release to this
23
    point, and I have followed that. We'll see how this
24
    information proves out.
25
             Anything further at this time?
```

MR. CARDANI: Just a point of clarification, Judge.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CARDANI: Is there anything that you want
Ms. Brown to do in terms of the agents being able to
follow-up or is that something you don't want to occur?

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Brown can use whatever

assets in that regard that her supervisors believe are appropriate.

I am going to build a wall between this inquiry and the prosecution. As you say, there is a statute on that. We'll follow it, of course. You know, it's no different in that way than the fella that went to trial on a marijuana grow in Medford before me the other day. He was acting out and we had him shrunk, and he was quite candid about his activities in the marijuana grow. He had a different position at trial. He didn't testify, which would have been problematic, but he had a different position there. And, in fact, I kept the picture from the jury of him in front of the marijuana and the grow. But, so there are, as those who work in this venue, we know how to do that, and will do that.

MR. CARDANI: And, likewise, if we have follow-up information that comes our way, we can share that with Pretrial Services?

out what is happening here. Some of the -- of course, there can be miscommunications, but there just hasn't been enough communication to -- given this background -- to justify the defendant's release, particularly on the appearance question. And that's what I'm -- I realize you have another point of your argument, but whether people appear or not is really what interests me, at this point.

The other side of it, we can control that, I think. And we have to respect the First Amendment in that regard, too. So I'm the only one in this room that's given up their First Amendment rights.

All right. We're in recess.

(The proceedings were concluded at 2:22 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 22nd day of October, 2007.



Certified Shorthand Reporter